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Phylogenetic analysis with x-ray computed tomography of fossilized and recent crania implicates differential growth of the neocortex in the evolution and development of the mammalian middle ear. In premammalian tetrapods, the middle ear evolved as a chain of bones attached to the mandible and cranium, but in adult mammals the chain is detached from the mandible and lies behind it. The neocortex evolved concurrently with detachment of the chain. In mammalian development the auditory chain arises connected to the mandible but later detaches, recapitulating the phylogenetic transformation. In modern didelphid development, the auditory chain reaches mature size by the third week after birth and is then separated from the jaw and displaced caudally as the neocortex grows for another 9 weeks.

The mammalian middle ear contains a chain of tiny ossicles whose parallel histories in ontogeny and phylogeny are among the most famous in comparative biology $(1,2)$. The middle ear arose in premammalian history ( $1-6$ ) as an integrated component of the mandible, where it participated in a continuous arcade of bones
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extending from the mandibular symphysis to the fenestra vestibuli ( FV ) of the inner ear (Fig. 1). The craniomandibular joint (CMJ) was formed by the quadrate and articular, which served the dual functions of hearing and feeding. Over a 100 -mil-lion-year span of premammalian history, the middle ear ossicles were gradually reduced, reflecting specialization for increasingly high-frequency hearing (3), while the dentary correspondingly enlarged to
undertake a greater role in feeding (4). Hearing and feeding were structurally linked in premammalian history, but in mammals (5) these functions became decoupled as the auditory chain detached from the mandible and repositioned behind it. The dentary remains as the only bone in the mammalian lower jaw, articulating with the squamosal to form a new CMJ. Anatomical relations of the ear ossicles to one another and auditory function were little affected in this transformation (3). Separation of the ossicles from the mandible is common to all adult mammals and was widely regarded as the definitive mammalian character under Linnean taxonomy (6).

In modern didelphid (marsupial) development (7), as in mammals generally ( $1,2,5$ ), the auditory chain differentiates and begins growth attached to the mandible, forming an arcade of cartilages from the FV to the mandibular symphysis (Fig. 2A). Three cartilages are present at birth. The stapes extends from the FV to the incus (quadrate), which is braced against the ventral edge of the otic capsule and articulates with the rear extremity of Meckel's cartilage. In the second developmental week, Meckel's cartilage cleaves to form the malleus (articular). The two pieces


Fig. 1. (A) Coevolution of the mammalian mandible and middle ear (right lateral view) and the brain (illustrated by dorsal views of endocasts), plotted on a phylogeny of selected mammals and their closest extinct relatives (5, 19). (B) Dorsal view of the brain of Didelphis (opossum). (C) Right lateral
view of auditory chain of Didelphis; the stapes is rotated and offset from between the incus and fenestra vestibuli. Abbreviations: CMJ, craniomandibular joint; FV, fenestra vestibuli of the inner ear. Crosses signify extinct species.
then separate as Meckel's cartilage degenerates during ossification of the dentary. The dentary and ectotympanic bone (angular) begin to ossify in a common membrane and soon grow into contact with one another. Connective tissues joining them are torn at the end of the third week after birth as the entire auditory chain (stapes, incus, malleus, and ectotympanic bone) is carried backward during the next 9 weeks to its adult position behind the CMJ. Ontogeny thus recapitulates phylogeny in detachment and relocation of the auditory chain.

Two hypotheses attempt to explain the
detachment of the auditory chain from the jaw. An evolutionary hypothesis (3) views premammalian history as shaped by selection for high-frequency hearing, with detachment of the chain from the mandible an extension of this trend. A developmental hypothesis (8) argues that the onset of jaw muscle functionality tears the auditory chain from the jaw. Neither hypothesis addresses both the developmental and phylogenetic transformations nor explains repositioning of the auditory chain to its new location behind the CMJ.

Using three-dimensional endocranial im-
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Fig. 2. (A) Development and relative growth of the didelphid mandibular arch, based on cleared and double-stained specimens of Monodelphis domestica. Embryonic cartilage is in blue, the tympanic ring or ectotympanic bone is in red, and uncolored regions have become mineralized. Growth of the didelphid forebrain is depicted in $(B)$ through (D), with the cortical equatorial plane shown for reference. (B) Location of cortical equator on didelphid skull. (C) Growth of olfactory bulb and neocortex in right lateral view [after (17)]. (D) Superimposed projections of the cortical equator, from x-ray computed tomographic imaging of $M$. domestica, showing the increase in equatorial circumference with age. Divergent trajectories of the CMJ and FV growth axes define an arc of detachment whose growth leads to detachment and caudal displacement of the auditory chain.
aging (Fig. 3) with high-resolution $x$-ray computed tomographic scanning (9) for phylogenetic analysis of mammals and their extinct relatives, I have identified additional bony mammalian synapomorphies that arose concurrently with the repositioned auditory chain (2). Their common location about the endocranial cavity implicates the brain as a dominant morphogenic influence in mammalian cranial evolution (2,5). Late Permian cynodonts are the first members of the mammalian "stem" lineage in which the brain filled the endocranial cavity. They have a simple tubular forebrain, with broad midbrain exposure dorsally and a pineal eye. Successive episodes of evolutionary forebrain enlargement are recorded in endocasts of Probainognathus (Middle Triassic) and Sinoconodon and Morganucodon (Early Jurassic). A still greater relative increase in forebrain volume occurred in the last common ancestor of extant mammals (5).

This additional brain volume marks an episode of heterochrony (peramorphosis) (10) in which the mammalian brain began to grow for a greater portion of ontogeny, and probably also more rapidly, than in Morganucodon and more distant outgroups. Details of endocast morphology indicate that this period also marks the origin of the mammalian neocortex (2). The developing mammalian forebrain hypertrophies into inflated hemispherical cortical lobes that swell backward over the midbrain and forward around the bases of the olfactory bulbs, which are themselves inflated. The circular fissure develops between the olfactory bulb and neocortex and is visible for the first time in a Late Jurassic endocast of Triconodon mordax (11). The cortical hemispheres differentiate into separate neocortex (isocortex) and pyriform cortex. Each hemisphere has a columnar organization of six radial layers that are generated in ontogeny by waves of migrating cells that originate from the ventricular zone and move radially outward to achieve their adult positions (12). This inside-out pattern of neural growth is unique to mammals and produces a huge cortical volume (13). The cerebellum is also inflated and deeply folded.

The key to understanding the developmental transformation of the auditory chain is its differential growth with respect to the brain and the skull in general. Using the mandible of didelphids as an illustration, the tympanic ring or ectotympanic bone (reflected lamina of the angular) has begun to ossify at birth and at first grows more rapidly (positive allometry) than the dentary (Fig. 4). However, growth slows (negative allometry) as adult size is reached late in the third week after birth, and shortly thereafter the entire auditory chain is pulled free of the dentary. The brain continues to grow at a linear rate through a
combination of hydrostatic inflation (14) and cell division until 12 weeks after birth (15). As the developing brain balloons upward and backward, it loads and remodels (16) the rear part of the skull.

Developmental cranial remodeling can be
seen in the widening distance between the CMJ and FV as the neocortex equatorial circumference grows. The equatorial segment between the FV and the CMJ defines an arc of detachment (Fig. 2D) for the auditory chain. As the arc's curvature grows, the FV and


Fig. 3. High-resolution x-ray computed tomographic imagery comparing Monode/phis (A through $\mathbf{D}$ ) (100- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ slice) and Thrinaxodon ( $\mathbf{E}$ through $\mathbf{H}$ ) (200- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ slice). Sections (A) and (E) transect the floor of the braincase; sections $(B)$ and $(F)$ transect the $F V$; sections $(C)$ and $(G)$ transect the middle of the foramen magnum; and sections $(\mathrm{D})$ and $(H)$ transect the roof of the foramen magnum. Abbreviations: a, articular; co, cochlea; oc, occipital condyle; ec, endocranial cavity; f.v., fenestra vestibuli; mx/ec, matrix in endocranial cavity; q, quadrate; qj, quadratojugal; sq, squamosal; st, stapes; tr, tympanic recess.


Fig. 4. Differential growth of the didelphid ectotympanic bone and forebrain. In the lower three graphs, relative growth of the forebrain is plotted as a series of ratios defined by the dimensions depicted on the mature forebrain [after (15)]. AH, anterior height; CL, cortical length; OL, occipital length; PH, posterior height.
attached auditory chain are displaced progressively backward from the CMJ. The geometry of the widening arc describes the detachment of the auditory chain, its path of subsequent displacement, and the timing and extent of relative movement. Detachment of the auditory chain occurs before the onset of auditory functionality. The inner ear is unresponsive to sound until the sixth week after birth and only thereafter does the auditory tract become myelinated (17).

The mammalian neocortex supports heightened olfactory and auditory senses, as well as coincident, overlapping sensory and motor maps of the entire body surface (13, 18). The neocortex is believed to have evolved in relation to the invasion of a nocturnal and arboreal niche (19) and has been implicated in the evolution of endothermy (20). The enlarged cerebellum is related to the acquisition and discrimination of sensory information (21) and to the adaptive coordination of movement through a complex three-dimensional environment (22). Secondary, epigenetic effects (23) accompanied the increased pace and duration of mammalian brain growth involving both the intrinsic organization of the brain and the cranium enclosing it. The repositioning of the middle ear is but one example of a dynamic epigenetic relation between the brain and skull. If this interpretation is correct, an event of fundamental importance in the origin of mammals was a heterochronic perturbation of the brain, inducing it to grow faster for a greater portion of ontogeny, achieving a far larger size than its extinct sister taxa, and triggering a cascade of epigenetic events broadly affecting mammalian life histories.
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