Stability of magnesiowüstite in Earth’s lower mantle
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Magnesiowüstite [(Mg,Fe)O] is the second most abundant mineral of Earth’s lower mantle. Understanding its stability under lower mantle conditions is crucial for interpreting the physical and chemical properties of the whole Earth. Previous studies in an externally heated diamond anvil cell suggested that magnesiowüstites decompose into two components, Fe-rich and Mg-rich magnesiowüstites at 86 GPa and 1,000 K. Here we report an in situ study of two magnesiowüstites [(Mg0.39,Fe0.61)O and (Mg0.25,Fe0.75)O] at pressures and temperatures that overlap with mantle conditions, using a laser-heated diamond anvil cell combined with synchrotron x-ray diffraction. Our results show that addition of Mg in wüstite (FeO) can stabilize the rock-salt structure to much higher pressures and temperatures. In contrast to the previous studies, our results indicate that Mg-rich magnesiowüstite is stable in the rock-salt structure in the lower mantle. The physical and chemical properties of magnesiowüstite should change gradually and continuously in the lower mantle, suggesting that it does not make a significant contribution to seismic-wave heterogeneity of the lower mantle. Stable Mg-rich magnesiowüstite in lowermost mantle can destabilize FeO in the core–mantle boundary region and remove FeO from the outer core.

At lower mantle conditions, olivine and orthopyroxene transform to magnesiowüstite [(Mg,Fe)O] and silicate perovskite [(Mg,Fe)SiOS] (1, 2), which together are likely the most important mineral assemblage of Earth’s interior. The stability of the magnesiowüstite and silicate perovskite plays a crucial role in understanding the geophysical and geochemical properties of Earth. At ambient conditions, the end members of the MgO–FeO (periclase–wüstite) system form a solid solution and have the same rock-salt (B1) structure. Periclase remains in the B1 structure to at least 227 GPa (3, 4). Wüstite transforms to a rhombohedral structure at pressures above 18 GPa at 300 K (5) and then to the NiAs or anti-NiAs structure (6–8). The topological difference between the pressure–temperature (P–T) phase diagrams of periclase and wüstite indicates that regions of two-phase equilibria should exist. A thermodynamically calculated P–T-composition phase diagram for the system suggests that an increase in pressure in the system would result in a gradual exsolution of an almost pure FeO and an Fe-depleted (Mg,Fe)O (9). Recent studies of three magnesiowüstites [(Mg0.5,Fe0.5)O, (Mg0.6,Fe0.4)O, and (Mg0.8,Fe0.2)O] in an externally heated diamond anvil cell (DAC) up to 86 GPa and 1,000 K suggested that magnesiowüstite decomposes into Mg-rich and Fe-rich magnesiowüstites (10, 11). The decomposition of magnesiowüstite was proposed to occur at the P–T conditions of the lower mantle (10, 11) and to contribute significantly to the seismic-wave heterogeneity of the lower mantle (12, 13). On the other hand, no evidence for a phase transformation in (Mg0.6,Fe0.4)O was found in shock-wave experiments to 201 GPa (14). Here we report the in situ study of structure and stability of magnesiowüstites at P–T conditions of the lower mantle.

Experimental Methods

Polycrystalline magnesiowüstites [(Mg0.39,Fe0.61)O and (Mg0.25,Fe0.75)O] in the cubic B1 structure were obtained from F. K. Graham (Pennsylvania State University, University Park) (15). Electron microprobe analyses showed that the starting materials contained 61.1 (±0.5) and 75.4 (±0.2) mol % FeO (each averaged from at least seven analyses), respectively. Beveled diamonds with an inner culet of 150 μm and an outer culet of 300 μm or flat diamonds with a culet of 250 μm were used. A rhenium or stainless steel gasket was preindented to a thickness of 30 μm and then a hole of 220-μm diameter was drilled in it. An amorphous boron and epoxy mixture (4:1 by weight) was filled and compressed in the hole. Subsequently, another hole of 100 μm was drilled and used as the sample chamber. A sandwich configuration, consisting of dried NaCl as the thermal insulator and pressure medium on both sides of the sample, was used (16–18). The amorphous boron provided higher strength to create a deeper sample chamber, giving stronger x-ray diffraction from a thicker sample and better laser-heating spots attributable to thicker thermal insulating layers. Moreover, use of amorphous boron as an inner gasket also avoided unwanted x-ray diffraction peaks from Re or the stainless steel gasket.

We have used a double-sided Nd:YLF (neodymium: yttrium lithium fluoride) laser heating system, operating in multimode (TEM00+TEM01), to heat the sample from both sides of a DAC at the 13-IDD GeoSoilEnviro-Consortium for Advanced Radiation Sources (GSECARS) sector of the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory (18). The laser beam diameter was ∼25 μm. Graybody temperatures were determined by fitting the thermal radiation spectrum between 670 nm and 830 nm to the Planck radiation function. The temperature uncertainty (1σ) averaged from multiple temperature measurements and temperatures from both sides of the sample across the laser-heated spots was ∼50–150 K. The sample was laser-heated for more than 10 min at each P–T point and was laser-heated for ∼30 min at the highest temperature of each heating cycle to overcome potential kinetic effects on possible phase transformation. The total duration of the laser heating in each heating cycle was ∼2–4 h. A focused monochromatic beam (wavelength = 0.3311 or 0.4246 Å) with a beam size of 7 μm (vertical) × 10 μm (horizontal) was used as the x-ray source for angle-dispersive x-ray diffraction experiments. The diffracted x-rays were collected by an image plate (MAR345) or by a charge-coupled device (Bruker-2k). Pressures were calculated from the room temperature equation of state of NaCl (16, 17) before laser heating. No thermal pressure corrections were made to the pressures at high temperatures in laser-heated DAC experiments.

Results and Discussion

(Mg0.39,Fe0.61)O and (Mg0.25,Fe0.75)O were examined in a laser-heated DAC at pressures up to 102 GPa and 86 GPa and temperatures up to 2,550 (±140) K and 2,580 (±130) K, respectively, and x-ray diffraction patterns were collected before, during, and after laser heating at each pressure (Figs. 1, 2, and 14).
The diffraction patterns were processed with the FIT2D (20), PEAKFIT 4.0, and General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) (21, 22) programs. Le Bail and Rietveld crystal structure refinements of the diffraction patterns were performed by using the GSAS program. No phase transformation was observed in (Mg0.39,Fe0.61)O, and it was stable in the B1 structure up to 102 GPa and 2,550 K (Figs. 1 and 3). In the (Mg0.25,Fe0.75)O experiments, a displacive phase transformation from B1 to a rhombohedral structure (R3m) was observed at about 60 GPa and 300 K. Because the displacive transition is very sensitive to the hydrostaticity of the sample (5), we used the diffraction patterns after laser heating for phase identification at 300 K. A second phase transformation occurred above 79 GPa as revealed from the observed extra peaks near (003), (101), (104), and (110) peaks of the rhombohedral phase (Fig. 2). The crystal structure of the new high-pressure phase should be closely related to the distorted B1 structure, because its d-spacings are close to the d-spacing of the B1 phase (Fig. 2) (23, 24). The displacive phase transition has also been observed in FeO (5), MnO (24), and CoO (23) under high pressures. MnO and CoO displayed multiple phase transitions under high pressures (23, 24). Nevertheless, the distorted B1 phases transform to the B1 structure upon laser heating, and the B1 structure transforms back to the distorted phases after temperature quench (Fig. 2). The quenched samples from the laser-heated DAC were recovered, polished, and analyzed with a scanning electron microprobe (JEOL 8800L), and the results show that the quenched samples remain chemically homogeneous. Additional details regarding the refinements of the crystal structures and the chemical analyses of the quenched samples are provided in Tables 1 and 2 and Figs. 5–8, which are published as supporting information on the PNAS web site, www.pnas.org.

Comparison to estimated geotherms of the deep Earth (19) shows that our high P–T experiments overlap with the P–T conditions of the lower mantle. Thus, (Mg0.39,Fe0.61)O and (Mg0.25,Fe0.75)O are stable in the B1 structure in the lower mantle.
The phase diagram (Fig. 4) (3–6, 25–27, **). As shown, addition of MgO strongly stabilizes the B1 structure to much higher pressures. The B1 structure has a wide P–T stability field in the Mg-rich magnesiowüstite (ferropericlase). The addition of MgO also decreases the magnetoelastic coupling in wustite, because the displacive B1–rhombohedral phase transition is related to the magnetic transition from the cubic paramagnetic phase to the rhombohedral antiferromagnetic phase (28–30).

High P–T experiments on the Mg–Fe partitioning between magnesiowüstite and silicate perovskite indicate that the FeO content in magnesiowüstite decreases with increasing P–T and with the addition of Al2O3 (31–33), suggesting that Mg-rich oxide (ferropericlase) exists in the deep lower mantle. Fig. 4 shows that indeed this is the case. Because the rhombohedral phase of wustite is stable only up to ~1,000 K (6) and the addition of MgO in FeO should further depress the stability field of the rhombohedral phase (Fig. 4), the B1–rhombohedral phase transformation in the ferropericlase is unlikely to occur under lower mantle conditions. Furthermore, the B1–NiAs phase transformation (6) in the ferropericlase is also not expected to occur in the lower mantle, because the addition of MgO in FeO also depresses the stability of the NiAs structure. In accord with these findings, high P–T experiments on the assemblage of magnesiowüstite and perovskite also showed no evidence of a phase transformation in the Mg-rich magnesiowüstite up to 120 GPa and 2,300 K (32, 33).

In contrast to recent studies on three magnesiowüstites [(Mg0.5Fe0.5)O, (Mg0.6Fe0.4)O, and (Mg0.8Fe0.2)O] in an externally heated DAC up to 86 GPa and 1,000 K by Dubrovinsky et al. (10, 11), our in situ high P–T experiments, at conditions of the lower mantle show that Mg-rich magnesiowüstite is stable in the B1 structure in the lower mantle. Magnesiowüstite in the lower mantle is likely to exchange elements with silicate perovskite as a function of P and T (31–33), which may result in a gradual, continuous change in physical and chemical properties of the lower mantle. Our results suggest that recently documented seismic-wave heterogeneity of the lower mantle (12, 13) must be explained by phenomena other than high P–T phase transformation or decomposition of magnesiowüstite as proposed by previous studies (10, 11). Oxygen is considered a possible light element in the outer core and core-mantle boundary (34). FeO has been observed in the reaction product of the silicate perovskite [(Mg,Fe)SiO3] and the liquid iron (34). It is conceivable that the stable Mg-rich magnesiowüstite chemically reacts with FeO in the core–mantle boundary and the outer core, and hence destabilizes FeO in the core–mantle boundary region and removes FeO from the outer core. The reaction may cause silicate material to accumulate at the core–mantle boundary (35).
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